

=

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Aldress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.ispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/687,493	10/13/2000	Sung Sik Jang	45475-00028 99-44653	9392
759	90 03/06/2003			
Stanley R. Moore, Esq.			EXAMINER	
Jenkens and Gilchrist, P.C. 3200 Fountain Place			WILLIAMS, ALEXANDER O	
1445 Ross Ave. Dallas, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Danao, 111 702			2926	

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/687,493	JANG					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alexander O Williams	2826					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportion for Reply			dress				
A S:HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,. mmunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 December 2002</u> .							
, .	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	Ex parto quayro, roos s.s	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	' 1						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
○) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority document							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			I application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been	received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No nal Patent Application (Pไ					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/687,493

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 09/687493 Attorney's Docket #: 45475-00028

Filing Date: 10/13/00; claimed foreign priority to 10/15/99

Applicant: Jang

Examiner: Alexander Williams

Applicant's Amendment/Substitute Specification in Paper #s 14 and 15, filed 12/19/02 have been acknowledged.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The listed application should be updated with application numbers and/or patent numbers. Also, the detailed description of the invention does not reflect the elements in the new drawings. Which is correct? The drawings and the specification should be consistent.

Appropriate correction is required.

Correction is required.

The drawings are objected to because the would be new reference numbers do not correspond to the reference numbers described in the specification, for example, [0020] line 3, "semiconductor package 10" is labeled –11— in the proposed drawings of figure 1. However, in figure 2, it is still labeled "10". Which is correct? The drawings and the specification should be consistent.

. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2826

The proposed drawing correction filed on 7/16/02 has been disapproved because it is not in the form of a pen-and-ink sketch showing changes in red ink or with the changes otherwise highlighted. See MPEP § 608.02(v).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Initially, and with respect to claims 6, 13 and 20, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523, In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA), In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC); and most recently, In re Thorpe et al., 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) all of which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear.

Claims 1 to 4, 6 to 11, 13 to 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Glenn et al. (European Patent Application # 0989608 A2).

For example, Glenn et al. (figures 2 to 16) specifically figures 2 and 10 show a package semiconductor **60**, comprising: a semiconductor chip **52** having a upper surface, a circumference and a bottom surface; a plurality of input bond pads **53** and output bond pads **53** on said upper surface along said circumference electrically connected to said semiconductor chip **52**; a leadframe having a chip paddle **22**, said chip paddle being bonded to said semiconductor chip by an adhesive (inherit), said leadframe having a plurality of tie bars **23**, said plurality of tie bars **28** each having a side surface and a bottom surface, said plurality of tie bars externally extending from

Art Unit: 2826

₹

said chip paddle 22, said leadframe having a plurality of dam bars 29; a plurality of leads 31 connected to said leadframe; a plurality of wires 54 electrically connected to said plurality of leads and said semiconductor chips; encapsulation material encapsulating said semiconductor chip, said plurality of conductive wires, said chip paddle, and said plurality of internal leads 63 to form a package body 51; wherein said chip paddle has a plurality of through holes in said half etched section of said chip paddle for increasing the bonding strength of said encapsulating material in said package body. Glenn et al. fail to explicitly show the tie bars being connected to said corners of said chip paddle. However, Glenn et al. does discloses tie bars 28 connected to the chip paddle 23.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching Glenn et al.'s tie bars for the purpose of providing support to the leadframe.

As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Claims 1 to 4, 6 to 11, 13 to 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Glenn et al. (Japan Patent # 2000-150765).

For example, Glenn et al. (figures 2 to 16) specifically figures 2 and 10 show a package semiconductor **60**, comprising: a semiconductor chip **52** having a upper surface, a circumference and a bottom surface; a plurality of input bond pads **53** and output bond pads **53** on said upper surface along said circumference electrically connected to said semiconductor chip **52**; a leadframe having a chip paddle **22**, said chip paddle being bonded to said semiconductor chip by an adhesive (inherit), said leadframe having a plurality of tie bars **23**, said plurality of tie bars **28** each having a side surface and a bottom surface, said plurality of tie bars externally extending from said chip paddle **22**, said leadframe having a plurality of dam bars **29**; a plurality of leads **31** connected to said leadframe; a plurality of wires **54** electrically connected to said plurality of leads and said semiconductor chips; encapsulation material encapsulating said semiconductor chip, said plurality of conductive wires, said chip paddle, and said plurality of internal leads **63** to form a package body **51**; wherein said

Art Unit: 2826

chip paddle has a plurality of through holes in said half etched section of said chip paddle for increasing the bonding strength of said encapsulating material in said package body. Glenn et al. fail to explicitly show the tie bars being connected to said corners of said chip paddle. However, Glenn et al. does discloses tie bars 28 connected to the chip paddle 23.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching Glenn et al.'s tie bars for the purpose of providing support to the leadframe.

As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Claims 1 to 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Lerner et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,381,042).

For example, Lerner et al. (figures 1A to 8) specifically figure 6 show a package semiconductor, comprising: a semiconductor chip 520 having a upper surface, a circumference and a bottom surface; a plurality of input bond pads (inherit) and output bond pads (inherit) on said upper surface along said circumference electrically connected to said semiconductor chip; a leadframe having a chip paddle 400, said chip paddle being bonded to said semiconductor chip by an adhesive (inherit), said leadframe having a plurality of tie bars 521a, said plurality of tie bars each having a side surface and a bottom surface, said plurality of tie bars externally extending from said chip paddle, said leadframe having a plurality of dam bars (inherit, shown as 221 in figure 2); a plurality of leads (shown as 221a in figure 2) connected to said leadframe; a plurality of wires 523 electrically connected to said plurality of leads and said semiconductor chips; encapsulation material encapsulating said semiconductor chip, said plurality of conductive wires, said chip paddle, and said plurality of internal leads to form a package body 601; wherein said chip paddle has a plurality of through holes in said half etched section of said chip paddle for increasing the bonding strength of said encapsulating material in said package body. Lerner et al. fail to explicitly show the tie

Art Unit: 2826

bars being connected to said corners of said chip paddle. However, Lerner et al. does discloses tie bars 221 connected to the chip paddle 222b in figure 2.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching Lerner et al.'s tie bars for the purpose of providing support to the leadframe.

As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113.

Response

Applicant's arguments filed 12/19/02 have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejections detailed above.

The listed references are cited as of interest to this application, but not applied at this time.

Field of Search	Date
	Junggor et al.
U.S. Class and subclass:	1/12/02
257/666,675,676,684,692,693,696,698,706,707,711-	10/12/02
713,717,720,734,730,787,796	3/3/03
Other Documentation:	1/12/02
foreign patents and literature in	10/12/02
257//666,675,676,684,692,693,696,698,706,707,711-	3/3/03
713,717,720,734,730,787,796	
Electronic data base(s):	1/12/02
U.S. Patents EAST	10/12/02
	3/3/03

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 2800 via the Technology Center 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4-5B15. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center 2800 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or 24. Only Papers related to Technology Center 2800 APPLICATIONS SHOULD BE FAXED to the GROUP 2800 FAX CENTER.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to *Examiner Alexander Williams* whose telephone number is **(703)** 308-4863.

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the *Technology Center 2800* receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

3/3/03

Primary Examiner
Alexander O. Williams